# EXHIBIT 3 FILED UNDER SEAL

#### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 587-20 Filed 06/12/17 Page 2 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 3
 4
 5
      WAYMO LLC,
                      Plaintiff,
 6
 7
                                      )
                                         Case No.
              VS.
                                         3:17-cv-00939-WHA
 8
      UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
      OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO
 9
      TRUCKING LLC,
10
                     Defendants.
11
12
13
14
15
          HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
16
          VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF PIERRE-YVES DROZ
17
                    San Francisco, California
                     Friday, March 31, 2017
18
19
                            Volume I
20
21
22
     Reported by: SUZANNE F. GUDELJ
2.3
     CSR No. 5111
    Job No. 2581643
24
25
    PAGES 1 - 187
                                                   Page 1
```

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 587-20 Filed 06/12/17 Page 3 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	with the noticing attorney.	
2	MR. JACOBS: Michael Jacobs, Morrison &	
3	Foerster.	
4	MS. CHANG: Esther Chang with Morrison &	
5	Foerster.	09:40:39
6	MS. DEARBORN: Meredith Dearborn of Boies,	
7	Schiller & Flexner on behalf of Uber and Ottomotto.	
8	MS. BARTOW: Nicole Bartow, in-house at	
9	Uber.	
10	MR. JAFFE: Jordan Jaffe of Quinn Emanuel	09:40:47
11	on behalf of the plaintiff and the witness.	
12	MR. CORREDOR: Philip Corredor, also of	
13	Quinn Emanuel.	
14	MR. BERKLEY: Demarron Berkley, in-house at	
15	Google.	09:40:58
16	THE WITNESS: Pierre-Yves Droz.	
17	VIDEO OPERATOR: Thank you. The witness	
18	will be sworn in and counsel may begin the	
19	examination.	
20		
21	PIERRE-YVES DROZ,	
22	having been administered an oath, was examined and	
23	testified as follows:	
24		
25	EXAMINATION	
		Page 8

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 587-20 Filed 06/12/17 Page 4 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	А	That is correct.	
	_		
			Page 28

## Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 587-20 Filed 06/12/17 Page 5 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	Q When you say what you had learned from your
2	software teams, what are you referring to?
3	A They, you know, put cars on the road with
4	very different sensors.
5	(Reporter clarification.)
6	With different sensors. They evaluated a
7	lot of different possibilities for sensors that
8	you know, they drove like millions of miles of
9	self-driving, and so from that, you know, like from
10	a lot of different scenarios that I have seen on the 10:05:21
11	road. One of the spec they gave us was kind of the
12	resolution that we need to achieve for the on the
13	car.
	Page 29

	(Defendant Exhibit 1021 marked by the court	
	reporter.)	
BY MR. J	ACOBS:	
Q	Can you tell us what 1021 is, please?	10:06:51
А	I believe 1021, I mean, looking at it, is a	
document	that I put together about a year ago to	
support :	my like application for promotion in Google.	
Q	If I want to start over.	
	I'd like you to turn to the second	10:07:14
paragrapl	h of the first page, please.	
А	Second paragraph of the first page.	
Mm-hmm.		
Q	You start out by saying:	
	"In 2011, the first Google self-driving	10:07:24
cars	were using an off-the-shelf LIDAR (the	
Velo	dyne HDL64)."	
	Do you see that?	
А	Yes, I do.	
Q	So when you joined Google, Google already	10:07:34
		Page 30

#### Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 587-20 Filed 06/12/17 Page 7 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand			
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby			
3	certify:			
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken			
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;			
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,			
7	prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record			
8	of the proceedings was made by me using machine			
9	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my			
10	direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true			
11	record of the testimony given.			
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to			
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal			
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review			
15	of the transcript [X] was [ ] was not requested.			
16	I further, certify I am neither financially			
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee			
18	of any attorney or party to this action.			
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date			
20	subscribed my name.			
21	Dated:4/3/17			
22	Surprise J. Gudelj.			
23	Sugare. 9			
	SUZANNE F. GUDELJ			
24	CSR No. 5111			
25				
	Page 187			